

O/o The SSP(L&O)- CUM - NODAL OFFICER, CCTNS PROJECT, PUDUCHERRY

No. /SSP(L&O)/RR/2010

Dated: .03.2010

**MINUTES OF THE SPMC SELECTION MEETING HELD ON 09.02.2010 AT 1730HRS
IN THE DGP CONFERENCE HALL, PUDUCHERRY AND THE SUSEQUENT
MEETINGS HELD ON 08.03.2010**

As directed by the DGP, Puducherry the technical committee constituted for the evaluation of modernization of the Police Control Room, Puducherry has been requested to evaluate and select a State Project Management Consultancy (SPMC) for the CCTNS project. The meeting was chaired by DIGP cum Chairman Technical Evaluation Committee for Modernization of Control Room, Puducherry. The following Member of the Committee attended the meeting.

1. Tvl. L.Kumar, JS (Home), Puducherry.
2. Dr.B.Srikanth, Senior Superintendent of Police(L&O)
3. One Representative from IT Department, Puducherry
4. One Representative from NIC Department, Puducherry
5. One Representative from PEC, Kalapet, Puducherry
6. Thiru. S. Bascarane, GB Circle Inspector-cum-Web Officer, Puducherry

Pending the pre-bid clarification sought by the vendors this department had extended the last date from 15.01.10 to 30.01.10 apart from the various efforts taken on the same with NCRB & Smart Governance Team at NCRB working as coordinator for the project but in vain, then we received the EOI from the vendors. The following vendors have submitted their EOI for participation as SPMC for CCTNS Project at Puducherry.

1. Ernst and Young Private Ltd., Chennai
2. KPMG, New Delhi (2 COPIES)
3. PWC, Chennai
4. Wipro Info Tech, Chennai
5. UTI Technology Services Ltd., Navi Mumbai.

The Technical & Commercial Bids received from the 5 firms were placed before the Committee Members for perusal. As directed by the members all the sealed cover was opened. It was ascertained that out of the five firms, only four had submitted their technical & commercial bid in full shape except Ernst and Young who had requested for extensions of last date for submission of EOI. Extension of last date requested by Ernst and Young was not agreed by the committee and the four bids were taken for finalization.

Evaluation was carried out based on the guidelines given by MHA / NCRB for evaluation of the technical & commercial bids inclusive of various criteria such as experience, composition of the project team proposed, curriculum vita of the resource persons, proposed methodology and work plan, etc. The calculation of evaluations was carried as per the format specified by NCRB / MHA.

The final result of the bid is as follows:

QUALITY AND COST BASED SELECTION (QCBS) (Technical: Financial = 70:30) subject to negotiation

FIRM	Fm (Least quoted) (T + 28 weeks)				Financial score	Technical Score	Sf Calculation
	Per Month	Resource persons	Period	Quoted	Sf	St	Sf = 100* Fm / F
							The least bid is taken as 100
KPMG	5,15,000	4	7 Month	19,85,400	77.75	57.5	$(15,43,693 \times 100) / 19,85,400 = 77.75$
WIPRO	5,33,000	4	7 Month	15,43,693	100.00	62.5	Lowest quoted - Fm
PWC	4,98,571	4	7 Month	34,90,000	44.23	63.0	$(15,43,693 \times 100) / 34,90,000 = 44.23$
UTI	2,97,810	4	7 Month	20,84,670	74.04	49.0	$(15,43,693 \times 100) / 20,84,670 = 74.04$

Note: Fm - Lowest financial proposal / Sf - Financial Score / F - Proposed financial value

RESULT OF THE BID

FIRM	Sf (Financial score)	St (Technical Score)	Final Score $S = St(0.7) + Sf(0.3)$	Rank	S calculation
KPMG	77.75	57.5	63.57	2	$(77.75 \times 0.3) + (57.5 \times 0.7) = 63.57$
WIPRO	100.00	62.5	73.75	1	$(100 \times 0.3) + (62.5 \times 0.7) = 73.75$
PWC	44.23	63.0	57.36	3	$(44.23 \times 0.3) + (63 \times 0.7) = 57.36$
UTI	74.04	49.0	56.51	4	$(74.04 \times 0.3) + (49 \times 0.7) = 56.51$

Note: The qualified firm as per quality and cost based selection (QCBS) is WIPRO

After deliberation the committee observed that M/s WIPRO and M/s KPMG had quoted for deployment of 3 resource persons for 4 months and 5 months respectively. Hence it was decided to seek clarifications regarding the number of resource persons, period of deployment and total financial implication from the above two firms on 19.02.2010 at 1700 hrs.

As desired by the committee, the short listed vendors M/s. WIPRO Ltd., and M/s KPMG were called on 19.02.2010 and it was twice postponed on 01.03.2010 & 05.03.2010 as the committee meeting could not be convened for various reasons.

The vendors M/s. WIPRO Ltd., and M/s KPMG attended the negotiation on 08.03.2010. The committee members articulated their queries regarding the deployment of resource persons and other conditions which got clarified and they have agreed in total. Accordingly, the vendors were requested to furnish the clarification presented in writing and both have submitted the clarifications on the same day as follows.

- As per the clarifications, M/s WIPRO will engage 4 resource persons during the project period of 28 weeks working on-site and off-site and submit the deliverables as defined in the RFP and the engagement of SPMC is on fixed price based (not on Time and Material based). They have requested for inclusion of "Change Order" Clause for contingency if any in the event of any delay in the completion of implementation. *The same is deferred as it is already specified in the Term of references which will be decided by the State Apex Committee if warranted and justified by the firm at the time of requirement.*
- As per the clarifications, M/s KPMG will engage 4 resource persons during the project period working on-site and off-site and submit the deliverables as defined in the RFP in time without any delay.

After considering all the facts and applying the Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) (Technical: Financial = 70:30) the committee has unanimously selected M/s WIPRO InfoTech Ltd., Chennai as SPMC for CCTNS Project for Puducherry Police.

(B. Srikanth)
Nodal Officer CCTNS Project
Puducherry

Copy to: 1. JS (Home), Puducherry
2. Director IT, Department, Puducherry
3. SIO, NIC, Puducherry
4. Department of ECE, PEC, Puducherry

Copy Submitted for information: DGP / DIG, Puducherry.