



Crime and Criminal Tracking Network and Systems



WORKSHOP

October 1, 2010

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING REPORT EVALUATION

Objectives of Workshop

- Discuss the observations on PIM reports (mainly financial)
- To highlight areas/sections which require more detailing
- To discuss, expectations from Centre and State
- To discuss the Progress status

KEY ISSUES IDENTIFIED





Hardware and Site preparation

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
 Scope Increase in Number of police stations Inclusion of Special Units (Police Stations) like Video Piracy, NIB CID, Anti-Dacoity Cell, SIT, CBCID, etc. 	 Only those Police Stations which have been notified in respective State Gazette shall be funded No Laptop to be funded
 Increase in Quantity of items proposed per site 	 The average number required per office /police station remains 4 However minimum of 3 PCs per Police Stations would be required
Additional Hardware items proposed	 CCTNS Fund Limitation. These may be funded by the States/ UTs.
 Could elements like Antivirus, Digital Signature, Windows, MS office etc be part of the costing? 	 Funding limited to Rs 25k /unit and any extra fund will have to be provided by State/UT States may negotiate with the vendors and procure suitable applications within the budget

Hardware and Site preparation

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
Operational expenses (consumables)	 Rs. 12,000 per location for Plan period has been provisioned for operational expenses (Higher offices & Police stations)
Information regarding existing H/w	 As per the CCEA note only those locations which were not covered under CIPA would be considered for Hardware – hence details on existing hardware required in PIM report
 Unit Rates The Unit rates as per PIM report exceed that of the State Plans / PIM Guidelines 	The center would fund the hardware requirement as per the unit rates mentioned in the guidelines. Any deviation in this regard will have to be funded by State/UT

Hardware and Site preparation

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
OthersPhase-wise planning for implementation	 A clear phase-wise planning for implementation needs to be provided in the PIM report by each state/UT – this is for planning fund releases from the Centre
Alternate sources of power i.e solar energy in power deficient states Central Programme Management Unit Central Pr	 MHA is evaluating options on modalities to provide support through Ministry of Non-Renewable Energy with funds from MPF scheme – Alternate power sources like Solar power requirements for States/UTs in terms of Solar Power based backup including cost and impact on budget needs to be detailed States to send their requirement of funds in their annual plan for the next FY – to be submitted to JS(PM), with copy to AS(CS) in December 2010

Software

Key Issues Proposed Responses Scope Configuration/ NCRB/MHA to consider the proposal of allocating extra customization of CAS funds for Application customization, /configuration & Integration with other maintenance external agencies / The States/UTs need to specify effort and man-month **Up-gradation** rates for: Configuration of the CAS software to the State Specific /adoption of existing situation (would include workflow configuration and systems with CAS, master data updation only) modification of other Portal development for citizen services and its linkage IT systems like Ewith State Portal (Citizen forms to leverage State Services Challan Delivery Gateway, if available) Integration with other external agencies - details to be provided about the objectives of integration, application details, vendor, modalities of integration, clarification on roles and responsibilities Use standard man month rates of Rs. 1.25 lakhs/mm Application maintenance cost should be 15% of the cost for development of additional modules & integration

modules

Data Center / DRC

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
 Data Center Co-Location with State Data Center Approach and methodology for States / UTs without SDC 	 Items/Components /Units already provisioned under the State Data center (funded under NeGP) plan should not be considered In case SDC is not ready, The State IT department should be communicated to expedite setting up SDC State should work out a temporary arrangement of hosting the CCTNS application using the sanctioned amount.
Disaster Recovery CenterScope and capacity	 Servers and Storage for DR site already budgeted Currently GoI, is planning to create 4 DR sites for all the State Data Centre

Network Infrastructure

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
 Scope Scope of BSNL / national level network provider for CCTNS in terms of H/w infrastructure and that of the Network infrastructure proposed by states Degree of usage of SWAN in the States 	 MHA/NCRB negotiating the rates with BSNL – the fund release would happen as per the actual rates negotiated Network costs to be considered upto Police station level
OthersBandwidth and Redundancy Considerations	 SWAN is to be used as redundant connectivity Already GoI has funded for Horizontal Connectivity under SWAN

Data Digitization / Data Migration

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
Scope of Data Digitization	 Only documents related to crime and criminal activities for last 10 years shall be considered for digitization. For e.g. Registers like receipt, dispatch and cash book etc to be excluded Scanning of paper is not expected
Strategy for Data Migration	Data migration tool to be provided by SDA
Data Verification and Data Validation	A clear strategy/plan needs to be defined for data verification and validation including the percentage of verification required and the responsible group/committee which will validate the data.

Central Programme Management Unit

Project Management & CB

Key Issues	Proposed Responses
 Project Management Consultancy Re-appropriation of funds saved under PMC costs Suitable support structure / staffing for accounting / general office work Can the States be permitted to workout the Consultancy timelines depending on the local requirements? 	Not possible / appropriate approvals may be sought
Capacity Building Training and Handholding	 Not possible / appropriate approvals may be sought Cost for Incentive and other monetary rewards will not be funded under CCTNS.

Central Programme Management Unit

Other General Observations

- Variations in unit cost of components under various heads
- Variations from the MoU in terms of M/P, operation expenses and power etc
- Detailed calculation and basis need to be provided

Central Programme Management Unit

