

Dear Sir

Pre-bid clarifications sought is still pending disposal at your end. In the meanwhile the EOI for SPMC was received from the vendors is pending scrutiny for appointment, and awaiting for the following pre-bid clarifications.

<b>9. Bid Evaluation</b>	Evaluation Of The Technical Proposal, Evaluation Criterion, 1. Experience of the Firm / India Operations, Page 49	<p>In the referred experience criteria, the scoring is based on number of projects bidder can quote for each criteria. But if we look at each of these criteria, we observe that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Projects quoted for criterion 1.1 can meet criteria 1.2, 1.3, 1.4</li><li>• Four Projects quoted for criterion 1.7 shall also comply shall comply many of the above criteria</li></ul> <p><b>Our query is that</b> - if bidder meets 1.1 for the highest possible rating he is automatically scoring marks for 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. In a way it means bidder is scoring multiple times for same experience. Request to please clarify if our understanding is right?</p> <p>Also, the page limit of 25 pages doesn't permit us to quote separate projects for each criteria and aim at scoring maximum marks for each criteria. It is requested that page limit be relaxed to 100 pages to help us submit a comprehensive proposal to meet the evaluation requirements</p>
<b>5. Detailed Scope of Work for SPMC</b>	5.1 Phase I: Assessment and Preparation of PIM Report, Geographical scope of assessment, Page 29	<p>Please confirm if the number of police stations at the referred page indicates the total number.</p> <p>Request you to please provide the list of locations the consultant shall visit for the study to be undertaken within 4 weeks as indicated in the in the RFP (e.g. Head Quarter, Commissionerate, District HQ, Range office, circle office, urban and rural police stations, etc)</p>